Algorithms - Fall 2020

Flows (firel day?)

____/

Lecap - HW due Saturday caution: I'm often busy Sunday. - Reading as Usual. -Next HW- probably oral grading

Max How/Min Cert: oFF: O((V+E) () · Edmonds-Karps O(E2 log E log fr · BFS based: O(VE2) (no f*)

Technique	Direct	With dynamic trees	Source(s)
Blocking flow	$O(V^2E)$	$O(VE\log V)$	[Dinitz; Karzanov; Even and Itai; Sleator and Tarjan]
Network simplex	$O(V^2E)$	$O(VE \log V)$	[Dantzig; Goldfarb and Hao; Goldberg, Grigoriadis, and Tarjan]
Push-relabel (generic)	$O(V^2E)$	_	[Goldberg and Tarjan]
Push-relabel (FIFO)	$O(V^3)$	$O(VE\log(V^2/E))$	[Goldberg and Tarjan]
Push-relabel (highest label)	$O(V^2\sqrt{E})$	_	[Cheriyan and Maheshwari; Tunçel]
Push-relabel-add games	_	$O(VE \log_{E/(V \log V)} V)$	[Cheriyan and Hagerup; King, Rao, and Tarjan]
Pseudoflow	$O(V^2E)$	$O(VE \log V)$	[Hochbaum]
Pseudoflow (highest labe)	$O(V^3)$	$O(VE\log(V^2/E))$	[Hochbaum and Orlin]
Incremental BFS	$O(V^2 E)$	$O(VE\log(V^2/E))$	[Goldberg, Held, Kaplan, Tarjan,
			and Werneck]
Compact networks	- 7	O(VE)	[Orlin]
	//[

asee

Figure 10.10. Several purely combinatorial maximum-flow algorithms and their running times.

Many use very differen techniques - Ineas programmi - Complex data structures - not residual graphs

			T -	8:46 AM Mon Oct 26 🗢 98% 🖬 🔒 arxiv.org
Sh II	"A	CIIVE	/ /	
		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• •	Showing 1–4 of 4 results Search v0.5.6 released 2020-02-24 Feedback?
	• • •	• • • •	• •	Query: order: -announced_date_first; size: 50; classification: Computer Science (cs); Simple Search include_cross_list: True: terms: AND title=Max flow algorithm Simple Search
	• • •		• •	Refine query New search
			• •	
				50 V results per page. Sort results by Announcement date (newest first) V Go
				1. arXiv:2009.03260 [pdf, ps, other] cs.DS math.OC
				A Potential Reduction Inspired Algorithm for Exact Max Flow in Almost $\widetilde{O}(m^{4/3})$ Time
				Authors: Tarun Kathuria
	• • •	• • • •	• •	Abstract: We present an algorithm for computing s - t maximum flows in directed graphs in $\widetilde{O}(m^{4/3+o(1)}U^{1/3})$ time. Our algorithm is inspired by potential reduction interior point methods for linear
	• • •	• • • •	• •	programming. Instead of using scaled gradient/Newton steps of a potential function, we take the step which maximizes the organizate in the potential value subject to advancing a certain amount o \heartsuit More
				Submitted 7 September, 2020 originally announced September 2020.
				2. arXiv:1910.04848 [pdf, other] cs.DS cs.CC A Fast Max Flow Algorithm
				Authors: James B. Orlin, Xiao-Yue Gong
				Abstract: In 2013, Orlin proved that the max how problem could be solved in $O(nm)$ (time. His algorithm ran in $O(nm + m^{1.94})$ time, which was the fastest for graphs with fewer than $n^{1.06}$ arcs. If the graph was
				not sufficiently sparse, the fastest running time was an algorithm due to King, Rao, and Tarjan. We describe a new variant of the event scaling algorithm for the max flow problem whose runn ∇ More
• • • • •	• • •	• • • •	• •	Submitted 10 October, 2019, orginally announced October 2019. Comments: 35 pages
• • • • •				3. arXiv:1901.01412 [pdf, other] cs.DS
	• • •		• •	New Algorithms and Lower Bounds for All-Pairs Max-Flow in Undirected Graphs Authors: Amir Abboud. Robert Krauthgamer, Ohad Trabelsi
	• • •		• •	Abstract: We investigate the time-complexity of the All-Pairs Max-Flow problem: Given a graph with n
				(the version with a given source-shik pair <i>s</i> , <i>t</i>) can be solved in time $T(m)$, then an $O(n^2) \cdot T(m)$ is a
				Submitted 9 July, 2019; v1 submitted 5 January, 2019; overlaally announced January 2019.
				4. arXiv:1304.2338 [pdf, other] cs.DS
				An Almost-Linear-Time Algorithm for Approximate Max Flow in Undirected Graphs, and its Multicommodity Generalizations
	• • •	• • • •	• •	Authors: Jonathan A. Kelner, Yin Tat Lee, Lorenzo Orecchia, Aaron Sidford Abstract: In this paper, we introduce a new framework for approximately solving flow problems in
	• • •		• •	capacitated, undirected graphs and apply it to provide asymptotically faster algorithms for the maximum <i>s</i> - <i>t</i> flow and maximum concurrent multicommodity flow problems. For graphs with a vertices and <i>m</i> edges
			• •	it allows us to find an ε -approximate maximum s - t flow in time $O(m^{1+o(1)}\varepsilon^{-2})$, improvi ∇ More
				Submitted 23 September, 2013; v1 Submitted 8 April, 2013; originally announced April 2013.
				About SC Contact Help Abuscribe
				Copyright Web Accessibility Assistance
				Privacy Policy arXiv Operational Status >
			-	
	S	Mo	re	- prown about
	11	· · · · ·	· \ ·	
	· · · · C	DC C	Jal 1	$G(\alpha)NS$
	L	ノ		

Computer Science > Data Structures and Algorithms

Minimum Cuts in Surface Graphs

Erin W. Chambers, Jeff Erickson, Kyle Fox, Amir Nayyeri

(Submitted on 9 Oct 2019)

We describe algorithms to efficiently compute minimum (s, t)-cuts and global minimum cuts of undirected surface-embedded graphs. Given an edge-weighted undirected graph G with n vertices embedded on an orientable surface of genus g, our algorithms can solve either problem in $g^{O(g)}n \log \log n$ or $2^{O(g)}n \log n$ time, whichever is better. When g is a constant, our $g^{O(g)}n \log \log n$ time algorithms match the best running times known for computing minimum cuts in planar graphs. Our algorithms for minimum cuts rely on reductions to the problem of finding a minimum-weight subgraph in a given \mathbb{Z}_2 -homology class, and we give efficient algorithms for this latter problem as well. If G is embedded on a surface with b boundary components, these algorithms run in $(g + b)^{O(g+b)}n \log \log n$ and $2^{O(g+b)}n \log n$ time. We also prove that finding a minimum-weight subgraph homologous to a single input cycle is NP-hard, showing it is likely impossible to improve upon the exponential dependencies on g for this latter problem.

Topics in Ch.11 A wess of different ideas! D Matchings Rill ('. M Build G: More Pairs larger flow in 2 Disjoint paths: Modify 6: use flow Find paths that avoid Cath ofter. 3) "Tuple" Selection Build a graph: flow parts Build a graph: flow parts give selection Magic Flows/arts solve a lot of problems!

First problem What if we want non-intersecting peths from sto t? lwo varianB; - Edge disjoint: No 2 patts Visit the - Vertex disjoint; no 2 paths Stricter! visit the same vertex verter Note: J. Ferent! And both model Key: Flow will decompose into pato!

Edge dispirit. Input: Unweighted graph G. Set God: Compute may # of ed saits Gosphy add Capacity = 1 to paths each edge. decompose into Run max flow, + trace paths from Smot. Since all flows are integral, + capacity of every edge S = 1> no edge will be in Color at copacity max Alow Durat # of e.d.

Vertex disjoint Vertex disjoint. Build a new graph G. all usv all usv in G ave now Nowt Think V(G) = vert of G = 2xV(G) $V(G) = v_{in}, V_{out}$ E(G) = edge of G = edges of G E(G) = edge of G = edge b/t vin t edge b/t vinAdd capacity = 1 to each edge. Fun max flow ag(6) Any flow path that enters Vin will exit Vout, 30 only 7 f(Vin > Vout)=1=C(Vin > Vout) Result: each vortex appears in only 1 flow path

Another (his) variant Suppose edges are unlimited, but vertices have capacities Ex: internet vouting: packets queue up at vouters/switches So: G=(V,E) + C(V) que capacities on vertices How to do flow? Build G: (with only edge Capacities) **Figure 11.1.** Reducing vertex-disjoint paths in *G* to edge-disjoint path lowe capacity = C(V) in G Capedy (

Correctness max flow in G (not creating vertor > max flow in G to edges in 6 are the In G flow, no vertex is exceed ~ in 6, Vin ~ Vour edges are also 500 50p. max How in G >max flow in 6 to edges are some vortex capacities some So: compute in 6 Chin Solution $O(V^2 + VE) = O(2 \cdot V(6)) \times E(6) + V(6)$

Eperate Graphs Any graph where vertices can be divided into 2 sets (ushelly LoR) St. no edges exist Inside LOFRE by: R= black vertices Maximum matching: find edges (no 2 edges per vorter) amplex ort doctors tols

How to compute? Greedy ideas: o match votex with minimum Cegree first Spails matching bit gives a matching bit hot largest Iterative improvement: X use flow Given a (non-maximal) matching, how to improve? Size Reperied remove a-b from a-b from matching b add 2 new edge b add 2 new edge b add 2 new edge b add 2 new edge

flows: Instead, use +Convert G adding The second secon Figure 11.2. A maximum matching in a bipartile graph G, and the oppresponding maximum flow in G'. add St direct edges of 6 from one set L to set R add edges S>L (cvery vertex in L) add edges from vertices in R give unit capacities to

Algorithm: Given (G= (V,E) With V= LUR (Dipertite) // build G add sort s's adj'list = L add to adj list of all vER direct + give unit cep 11 run flow Call Orlin Aget matching trace flow paths, build trace flow paths, build match that consists of match that consists of any LoR edge with fled-1 Runtime: O(VE) $= O\left((V+2)(E+V)\right)$ $-\mathcal{O}(VE+V^2)=\mathcal{O}(VE)$

Correctness. DAny matching in G > flow in G tate matching edge e=u=v Set f(c)=4 + f(s=u)+f(v=t)=1 valid, no capacity is too DAny flow in G >> matching in G flow decomposes into unit paths, S=24-2V-2t Include usy in metching Malid modeling Herefore, max flow (>) max in G

Aside: How?? (FF is some how improving matching...)



Figure 11.3. An increasing sequence of matchings connected by alternating paths.

USING his is our it atur improvement 1 de

Crazies "word problem" examples A company sells & products, + teeps records on customers Goal: Design a survey to send to n customets, to get feed back. · Each customer's survey Shouldn't be too long, a should ask only about products they purchased o Each product needs Some It of reviews from different customes

Input: - & products -n customers - records of who bought what: and for i. = k, j = n For each customer, . `` Ci IS Max # of products to ask then about -for each product, Pils minimum # of reviews needed. Can we design a survey?

•	٠		4	- :\	Ċ	گ	()	(1	1	$\sim v$	5	٠		٠	٠		٠	٠		•	•		•		٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	•	/	.1	-	·	<u> </u>	•					٠	~		•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•
٠	•	• (·	J	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	*	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•		•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
			•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•				•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•							
										0																•										
				*																																
																							•						•							
•	•			٠	•		٠		•	•																•		•								
				•						•							•									•							٠			
																													•							
٠	•		٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•			٠	•	٠	•	•		٠	•	•		٠	٠	•	•	•				•			
٠			٠	•	٠		٠	٠	٠	٠	٠	•			•										٠	٠	•	•		•			•	•	•	
•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•			•		•			•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠		•		•	•	٠	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•
٠		•	٠	٠		٠	٠	۰	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠		•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•
٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	*	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	۰	٠	0	۰	•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•				
	•			٠																																
										•																			•							
																												•	•							
							•	•		٠	•							•				•				•			•			•	•		•	
•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•			•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠		•		•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠		•	•	•		•	٠	•	•	•
٠		•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
٠	•	•	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	۰	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠		•	•		•	•				٠	٠		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	*	•	•	•	*	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•		•	•	•	•	*	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•
								٠																												

Runhme		correctness	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · ·	 	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · ·	 	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · · · · · · ·	 	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · ·